UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

		\mathbf{V} .	ORDER OF I	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
HENRY DANCY		HENRY DANCY	Case Number: 09-	30160-02
		Defendant		
dete		ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 to of the defendant pending trial in this ca		eld. I conclude that the following facts require the
Part I—Findings of Fact				
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a			
			ne defendant had been convicted of two or more	prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish:	s committed while the defendant was on release as elapsed since the date of conviction	release of the defendant from imprisonment mbination of conditions will reasonably assure the
			Alternative Findings (A)	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that t for which a maximum term of impr under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	he defendant has committed an offense isonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _	·
	(2)			or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
_			Alternative Findings (B)	
M,	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	it will not appear. It will endanger the safety of another person or the	
(X)	(2)	There is a serious risk that the determan	win changer the savety of another person of the	o community,
		Part	IIWritten Statement of Reasons for De	#
			ation submitted at the hearing establishes by	clear and convincing evidence \(\square \) a prepon-
		of the evidence that		
90 (Fe	escri 1 et elon	bed in the Controlled Substances Actives.). Defendant is a 43 year old hur in Possession of a Firearm). Although	led substances for which a maximum term of t (21 U.S.C. Isband and father with two prior felony convi- ph Defendant's employment was not confirm ut in her hair and nail business. (CONTINU	ctions, one in 1989 (CCW) and one in 1992 ed, his wife stated that he earns
reas Gov	he ex sonal vernr	ctent practicable, from persons awaiting ble opportunity for private consultation v	or serving sentences or being held in custody p with defense counsel. On order of a court of the	n ive for confinement in a corrections facility separate, ending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a c United States or on request of an attorney for the ted States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
		March 26, 2009	s/ Mona K. Majzoub	
		Date		ure of Judge
MONA K. MAJZOUB - UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				TES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Defendant states that his income is derived from his seasonal landscaping business. Defendant has retained counsel. Defendant has resided in Detroit with his wife at the bond address for the past 14 years and has lived in Detroit for all of his life.

The complaint charges that Defendant and his co-defendant Luna conspired to distribute 20 kilos of cocaine, and possessed with intent to distribute cocaine. These charges are based upon on a confidential informant's information that Dancy received multi-kilogram cocaine shipments from co-defendant Luna's Drug Trafficking Organization at an auto repair facility/warehouse located near the intersection of Tyler Street and Schaefer Road in Detroit, Michigan, and that Dancy was usually there to receive the cocaine when it arrived at the warehouse. The confidential informant also advised that co-defendant Luna utilized the same transportation assets that transported the bulk cocaine to transport the drug proceeds. The confidential informant also advised that a shipment of cocaine was scheduled to arrive at the subject warehouse on March 23, 2009, and that the cocaine was stored in the semi-tractor in a manner that required that the gas tank of the semi-tractor be lowered in order to retrieve the cocaine.

A search warrant for the subject auto repair shop was executed on March 24, 2009, and Defendant was present, along with co-defendant, standing next to the semi-tractor. A narcotics canine alerted to the possible presence of narcotics near the gas tank. The gas tank was removed and 20 kilograms were found and identified as cocaine. Defendant told officers that he drove the Dodge Caravan to the warehouse, but that it belonged to a friend of his. The other car, a pickup truck, was rented on March 17, 2009 in Pasadena, California, in the name of Enrique Ortiz.

Defendant offered that his family was present in the courtroom, and that defendant's wife offered to act as a third party custodian for defendant, and requested bond. Defendant also questioned the basis the government's proffered proofs, including the Pretrial Services Report which recommends bond.

This Court finds that Defendant did not adequately rebut the presumption of detention. Although he does demonstrate family and community ties, his employment is unclear, the nature and seriousness of the charges, which include being involved in an inter-state drug organization, a conspiracy to distribute 20 kilograms of cocaine worth between \$600,00 and \$700,000, pose a danger to the community and also render him a flight risk. The court finds probable cause to believe that Defendant committed the acts with which he is charged.

The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant or the safety of the community. Detention is Ordered.